

Nowak's theorem on probability measures induced by strategies revisited

Aiko Kurushima^{*}, Alexey Piunovskiy[†] and Yi Zhang[‡]

Abstract: In this note, we present a new monotone approximation of a given real-valued Carathéodory function on the product $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A}$ of Borel spaces, where \mathbf{A} is also compact. We demonstrate its application by providing a self-contained and elementary proof of a result of A. Nowak in discrete-time Markov decision processes.

Keywords: Carathéodory function. Markov decision processes. Monotone approximation.

AMS 2000 subject classification: 90C40, 28A20

1 Introduction

Let \mathbf{X} is a nonempty Borel space, and \mathbf{A} be a nonempty compact Borel space. A Borel space is regarded as a Borel subset of a Polish space. Throughout we fix the metric ρ in \mathbf{A} . Each Borel space is endowed with the Borel σ -algebra unless stated otherwise, and measurability is always understood in the Borel sense.

An \mathbb{R} -valued function f on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A}$ is called Carathéodory if $f(x, a)$ is measurable in $x \in \mathbf{X}$ for each fixed $a \in \mathbf{A}$, and continuous in $a \in \mathbf{A}$ for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows that f is jointly measurable on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A}$, see Lemma 4.15 of [1]. Let the collection of \mathbb{R} -valued Carathéodory functions on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A}$ be denoted by $Car(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A})$.

The approximation of such a Carathéodory function plays a role in studying the space of strategic measures in discrete-time Markov decision processes (DTMDP). In [8], a Carathéodory function was approximated using Luzin or Scorza-Draguni type theorem, which, roughly speaking, asserts that if there is a reference probability measure λ , then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a closed subset G of \mathbf{X} such that $\lambda(G) < \epsilon$ and the restriction of the function f on $G \times \mathbf{A}$ is continuous. Then the Tieze-Dugundij extension theorem extends (continuously) the resulting function to the whole space. This idea was also employed in a more general setup, see [7]. A different approximation was introduced in [11], which we describe as follows. For $f \in Car(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A})$, and each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, consider the k th approximation:

$$f_k(x, a) = \sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} f(x, a_j^{(k)}) \varphi_j^{(k)}(a),$$

where $\{a_1^{(k)}, a_2^{(k)}, \dots, a_{t(k)}^{(k)}\}$ is a fixed $1/k$ -net of \mathbf{A} , $\varphi_j^{(k)}(a) = \frac{\psi_j^{(k)}(a)}{\sum_{i=1}^{t(k)} \psi_i^{(k)}(a)}$, with $\psi_j^{(k)}(a) = \max\{0, 1 - k\rho(a, a_j^{(k)})\}$. Then $f_k(x, a) \rightarrow f(x, a)$ uniformly in $a \in \mathbf{A}$ for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$, see p.462 of [11]. Here and

^{*}Department of Economics, Sophia University, Tokyo, Japan. E-mail: kurushima@sophia.ac.jp

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZL, U.K.. E-mail: piunov@liv.ac.uk

[‡]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZL, U.K.. E-mail: yi.zhang@liv.ac.uk

below, for each $\epsilon > 0$, a finite ϵ -net of the compact Borel space \mathbf{A} is a collection of finitely many points in \mathbf{A} such that for each point $a \in \mathbf{A}$, there is some point b from this collection such that $\rho(a, b) < \epsilon$.

The two approximations are in general not monotone. In this note, we refine the Yushkevich's approximation and provide a monotone nondecreasing approximating sequence, each of whose element is a finite sum of products of a measurable function on \mathbf{X} and a continuous function on \mathbf{A} . We state this result in Section 2. In Section 3, we demonstrate the application of this approximation by providing a simple and elementary proof of a famous result of A. Nowak in discrete-time Markov decision processes, where we explain why the original approximation of Yushkevich was not sufficient therein. We finish this note with a conclusion in Section 4.

2 Monotone approximation of Carathéodory functions

We now present the following monotone approximation scheme.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $f \in \text{Car}(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A})$ be fixed. Then there exists a monotone nondecreasing sequence of functions $\{f_k\} \subseteq \text{Car}(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A})$ in the form*

$$f_k(x, a) := \sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} u_j^{(k)}(x) v_j^{(k)}(a)$$

such that for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $f_k(x, a) \uparrow f(x, a)$ uniformly in $a \in \mathbf{A}$. Here, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $t(k)$ is a finite integer, $u_j^{(k)}$ is measurable on \mathbf{X} , $v_j^{(k)}$ is $[0, 1]$ -valued and continuous on \mathbf{A} . If f is bounded, then one can take $u_j^{(k)}$ bounded on \mathbf{X} for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we define the following. Let $\{a_1^{(k)}, a_2^{(k)}, \dots, a_{t(k)}^{(k)}\}$ be a finite $\frac{1}{8^k} - 2\frac{1}{8^{k+1}} = \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{8^k}$ -net of the compact metric space \mathbf{A} . Let

$$\psi_j^{(k)}(a) := \max \left\{ 0, 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{8^k} - 2\frac{1}{8^{k+1}}} \right) \rho(a, a_j^{(k)}) \right\}$$

with ρ being the metric in \mathbf{A} . Let

$$\varphi_j^{(k)}(a) := \frac{\psi_j^{(k)}(a)}{\sum_{i=1}^{t(k)} \psi_i^{(k)}(a)}.$$

So $\varphi_j^{(k)}(a) > 0$ if and only if $\rho(a, a_j^{(k)}) < \frac{1}{8^k} - 2\frac{1}{8^{k+1}}$. Also $\sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} \varphi_j^{(k)}(a) = 1$. It holds that for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, t(k)$, $\varphi_j^{(k)}$ is $[0, 1]$ -valued and continuous in $a \in \mathbf{A}$.

Define

$$f_k(x, a) := \sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} \inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\} \varphi_j^{(k)}(a), \quad x \in \mathbf{X}, \quad a \in \mathbf{A}, \quad (1)$$

where $B(a, \epsilon)$ is the closed ϵ -ball centered at $a \in \mathbf{A}$. Note that with \mathbf{A} being a compact metric space, $\inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\}$ is measurable in $x \in \mathbf{X}$ by e.g., Theorem 2 of [6].

In this way, for each fixed $a \in \mathbf{A}$, if $\varphi_j^{(k+1)}(a) > 0$ and $\varphi_i^{(k)}(a) > 0$, then by the triangle inequality, $\rho(a_i^{(k)}, a_j^{(k+1)}) < \frac{1}{8^k} - \frac{1}{8^{k+1}} - \frac{2}{8^{k+2}}$ and

$$B(a_j^{(k+1)}, \frac{1}{8^{k+1}}) \subseteq B(a_i^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k}).$$

Therefore, for each fixed $a \in \mathbf{A}$, if $\varphi_j^{(k+1)}(a) > 0$ and $\varphi_i^{(k)}(a) > 0$, then $\inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k+1)}, \frac{1}{8^{k+1}})} \{f(x, b)\} \geq \inf_{b \in B(a_i^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\}$.

We verify that the sequence $\{f_k\}$ is monotone nondecreasing. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{k+1}(x, a) &= \sum_{j=1}^{t(k+1)} \inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k+1)}, \frac{1}{8^{k+1}})} \{f(x, b)\} \varphi_j^{(k+1)}(a) \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^{t(k+1)} \max_{i: \varphi_i^{(k)}(a) > 0} \left\{ \inf_{b \in B(a_i^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\} \right\} \varphi_j^{(k+1)}(a) \\
&= \max_{i: \varphi_i^{(k)}(a) > 0} \left\{ \inf_{b \in B(a_i^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\} \right\} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{t(k)} \inf_{b \in B(a_i^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\} \varphi_i^{(k)}(a) \\
&= f_k(x, a),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality and the second inequality follow from the fact that $\sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} \varphi_j^{(k)}(a) = 1$ for each k .

Finally, we verify the uniform convergence as follows. Note that

$$|f_k(x, a) - f(x, a)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} \left| \inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\} - f(x, a) \right| \varphi_j^{(k)}(a)$$

(Again, the fact that $\sum_{j=1}^{t(k)} \varphi_j^{(k)}(a) = 1$ for each k is in use.) For each j such that $\varphi_j^{(k)}(a) > 0$, $a \in B(a_j^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})$. Recall that $f(x, a)$ is uniformly continuous in $a \in \mathbf{A}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathbf{X}$ because \mathbf{A} is a compact metric space. Consequently, for each $\epsilon > 0$, for all sufficiently large k , it holds that for each $a \in \mathbf{A}$, $\left| \inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\} - f(x, a) \right| < \epsilon$ for each j such that $\varphi_j^{(k)}(a) > 0$, and hence $\sup_{a \in \mathbf{A}} |f_k(x, a) - f(x, a)| \leq \epsilon$ for all large enough k . The uniform convergence follows.

The proof is completed if one puts $u_j^{(k)}(x) = \inf_{b \in B(a_j^{(k)}, \frac{1}{8^k})} \{f(x, b)\}$ for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $v_j^{(k)}(a) = \varphi_j^{(k)}(a)$ for each $a \in \mathbf{A}$. (If f is bounded, then the additional properties on $u_j^{(k)}$ and $v_j^{(k)}$ are fulfilled automatically.) \square

3 Alternative proof of Nowak's theorem

We apply Theorem 2.1 in this section to present a more self-contained and elementary proof of a known result due to A. Nowak in the theory of discrete-time Markov decision processes. A special case was proved in [11], which did not require the monotone approximation of a Carathéodory function of the type in Theorem 2.1, which is needed in order to generalize the reasoning in [11].

We describe a DTMDP as follows, see more details in [5, 9]. Let S be the nonempty Borel state space, A be the nonempty Borel action space, p be a stochastic kernel from $S \times A$ to $\mathcal{B}(S)$, and ν be the initial distribution on $\mathcal{B}(S)$. Let us denote for each $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, $\mathbf{H}_n := S \times (A \times S)^n$ and $\mathbf{H}_0 := S$.

A strategy $\sigma = \{\sigma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in the DTMDP is given by a sequence of stochastic kernels $\sigma_n(da|h_n)$ on $\mathcal{B}(A)$ from $h_n \in \mathbf{H}_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let Σ be the space of strategies. Let the controlled and controlling processes be denoted by $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Here, for each $n = 0, 1, \dots$, X_n (respectively, A_n) is the projection of \mathbf{H}_∞ to the $2n + 1$ st (respectively, the $2n + 2$ nd) coordinate.

Under a strategy $\sigma = \{\sigma_n\}$, by the Ionescu-Tulcea theorem, one can construct a probability measure \mathbf{P}_ν^σ on $(\mathbf{H}_\infty, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H}_\infty))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_\nu^\sigma(X_0 \in dx) &= \nu(dx), \\ \mathbf{P}_\nu^\sigma(A_n \in da | X_0, A_0, \dots, X_n) &= \sigma_n(da | X_0, A_0, \dots, X_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \mathbf{P}_\nu^\sigma(X_{n+1} \in dx | X_0, A_0, \dots, X_n, A_n) &= p(dx | X_n, A_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots\end{aligned}$$

As usual, equalities involving conditional expectations and probabilities are understood in the almost sure sense. The probability measure \mathbf{P}_ν^σ is called a strategic measure for the DTMDP (under the strategy σ). Let $\mathcal{S} := \{\mathbf{P}_\nu^\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ be the space of all strategic measures for the DTMDP (with the fixed initial distribution ν throughout this note).

Let the space of probability measures on $(\mathbf{H}_\infty, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{H}_\infty))$ be denoted by $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_\infty)$. The w -topology on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_\infty)$ is the weakest topology with respect to which, for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty)$ is continuous in $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_\infty)$ for each bounded continuous function f on \mathbf{H}_n . This is the same as to say that the w -topology is generated by the class of bounded continuous functions on \mathbf{H}_∞ , see Lemma 4.1 of [10]. Similarly, the ws -topology is the weakest topology with respect to which, for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty)$ is continuous in $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_\infty)$ for each bounded measurable function f on \mathbf{H}_n such that $f(x_0, a_0, x_1, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_n)$ is continuous in $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^n$ (keeping the other arguments fixed). The w -topology on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_\infty)$ is strictly weaker than the ws -topology in general. Nevertheless, A.Nowak noted that under some conditions, the two topologies are equivalent when they are restricted to $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}_\infty)$, see Theorem 1 of [8], which we quote as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (A.Nowak) *Suppose that the action space A is compact, and for each bounded measurable function f on S , the integral $\int_S f(y)p(dy|x, a)$ is continuous in $a \in A$ for each $x \in S$. Then, restricted to the space of strategic measures \mathcal{S} , the (relative) w -topology coincides with the (relative) ws -topology.*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_\alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be a net such that $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{S}$ in the w -topology. It suffices to show that $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{S}$ in the ws -topology, see p.127 of [4]. Let $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be fixed. Let us show that

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \quad (2)$$

for each bounded measurable function f on \mathbf{H}_n satisfying $f(x_0, a_0, x_1, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_n)$ is continuous in $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^n$ (keeping the other arguments fixed).

Firstly, we verify that (2) holds for each f in the form of $f(h_n) = d(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$, where d is a bounded measurable function on S^{n+1} , and g is a bounded continuous function on A^n , as follows. Let some bounded continuous function g on A^n be arbitrarily fixed, and \mathcal{D} be the set of bounded measurable functions d on S^{n+1} such that

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \\ & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{D} is a vector space, and contains real constants. Now let $\{d_m\}$ be a monotone nondecreasing and uniformly bounded sequence of nonnegative functions in \mathcal{D} such that $d_m \uparrow d_\infty$ pointwise. Then d_∞ is bounded measurable. To show that d_∞ is in \mathcal{D} , we introduce the following notations. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we introduce an operator say T_k mapping a bounded measurable function say u on \mathbf{H}^k

such that it is continuous on A^k (keeping the other arguments fixed) to a bounded measurable function on \mathbf{H}_{k-1} such that it is continuous on A^{k-1} (keeping the other arguments fixed), defined by

$$T_k \circ u(x_0, a_0, x_1, a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}, x_{k-1}) := \sup_{a_{k-1} \in A} \int_S u(x_0, a_0, x_1, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, x_k) p(dx_k | x_{k-1}, a_{k-1}),$$

where the right hand side indeed defines a measurable bounded function on \mathbf{H}^{k-1} continuous on A^{k-1} (keeping the other arguments fixed) by Theorem 2 of [6], Proposition 7.30 of [2] and the Berge theorem, see e.g., Theorem 17.31 of [1], which are applicable under the conditions of this theorem. In particular, T_k maps a bounded measurable function on S^{k+1} to a bounded measurable function on S^k .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_m(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_\infty(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^n} |g|(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \\ & \leq \sup_{(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^n} |g|(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \int_S T \circ T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x) \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Here and below, given a \mathbb{R} -valued function g , the notation $|g|(\cdot) := |g(\cdot)|$ is in use. Note that by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S T \circ T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0) \nu(dx_0) \\ & = \int_S \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_0 \in A} \int_S T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0, x_1) p(dx_1 | x_0, a_0) \nu(dx_0) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_S T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0, x_1) p(dx_1 | x_0, a_0)$ is monotone nonincreasing in m and continuous in $a_0 \in A$ under the conditions of the statement, the order of $\sup_{a_0 \in A}$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty}$ can be interchanged, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S T \circ T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0) \nu(dx_0) \\ & = \int_S \sup_{a_0 \in A} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0, x_1) p(dx_1 | x_0, a_0) \nu(dx_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an iterative argument reveals

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S T \circ T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |d_m - d_\infty|(x_0) \nu(dx_0) = 0.$$

That is, the convergence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_m(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \\ & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_\infty(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \end{aligned}$$

is uniform with respect to α . Consequently, by Lemma 6 in p.28 of [3],

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_m \lim_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_m(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \lim_m \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_m(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty), \end{aligned}$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_\infty(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} d_\infty(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $d_\infty \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu$ in the w -topology by assumption, the class $\mathbb{C}(S^{n+1})$ of all bounded continuous functions on S^{n+1} , which is closed under multiplication, is in \mathcal{D} . Thus, by the functional monotone class theorem, \mathcal{D} contains all bounded functions measurable with respect to the σ -algebra generated by $\mathbb{C}(S^{n+1})$, which is $\mathcal{B}(S^{n+1})$. Since the continuous bounded function g on A^n was arbitrarily fixed, this shows that (2) holds for each f in the form of $f(h_n) = d(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$, where d is a bounded measurable function on S^{n+1} , and g is a bounded continuous function on A^n , as desired.

Secondly, we show (2) holds for each bounded measurable function f on \mathbf{H}_n such that $f(h_n)$ is continuous in $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in A^n$ (keeping the other arguments fixed), as follows. Let such a function f be fixed. Note that f can be regarded as an element of $Car(S^{n+1} \times A^n)$. Take a monotone nondecreasing approximating sequence $\{f_m\}$ of f that comes from Theorem 2.1. Then from what was established in the first step, (2) holds for each f_m . Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f_m(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) - \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} |f_m - f|(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) \leq \int_S T \circ T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |f_m - f|(x) \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

As in Step 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S T \circ T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |f_m - f|(x_0) \nu(dx_0) \\ &= \int_S \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_0 \in A} \int_S T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |f_m - f|(x_0, a_0, x_1) p(dx_1 | x_0, a_0) \nu(dx_0) \\ &= \int_S \sup_{a_0 \in A} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_S T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |f_m - f|(x_0, a_0, x_1) p(dx_1 | x_0, a_0) \nu(dx_0), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the last equality holds since $\int_S T_2 \circ \dots \circ T_{n-1} \circ T_n \circ |f_m - f|(x_0, a_0, x_1) p(dx_1 | x_0, a_0)$ is monotone nonincreasing in m and continuous in $a_0 \in A$ under the conditions of the statement. The rest proceeds as in Step 1. Eventually,

$$\lim_m \lim_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f_m(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) = \lim_\alpha \lim_m \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f_m(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty),$$

and hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty) = \lim_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{H}_n} f(h_n) \mu_\alpha(dh_n \times (A \times S)^\infty).$$

The statement is proved. □

As a corollary of the above result, under the conditions therein, the (relative) *ws*-topology on \mathcal{S} is separable and metrizable, and \mathcal{S} , endowed with the corresponding Borel σ -algebra, is a Borel space.

We emphasize that in the above proof of Theorem 3.1 for the last equality in (3), it is needed that the approximating sequence $\{f_m\}$ to $f \in Car(S^{n+1} \times A^n)$ is monotone, because in general one cannot interchange the order of supremum and limit.

The idea of the above proof is basically from [11], where the author showed the following special case. Let Γ be the space of stochastic kernels from \mathbf{X} to \mathbf{A} . Though the author dealt with sequences, the reasoning in the proof of Theorem 1 in [11] actually also shows that the (relative) *ws*-topology on $\{\mu \in \mathbb{P}(S \times A) : \mu(dx \times da) = \nu(dx)\sigma(da|x), \sigma \in \Gamma\}$ is equivalent to the *w*-topology on it. Since the model is zero-step, there was no need for the monotone approximation given in Theorem 2.1.

Finally, the proof of Theorem 3.1 here is elementary and simple. In comparison, the proof in [8] is based on a different reasoning and less self-contained, e.g., it refers to Lemma 6.2 in [10], which was in turn proved using the facts from non-stationary dynamic programming.

4 Conclusion

In this note, we provided a monotone approximating sequence of a given real-valued Carathéodory function on the product $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{A}$ of Borel spaces, where \mathbf{A} is also compact. Each element in this sequence is a finite sum of products of a measurable function on \mathbf{X} and a continuous function on \mathbf{A} . We demonstrate its application by providing a self-contained and elementary proof of a famous result of A. Nowak in discrete-time Markov decision processes.

Acknowledgement. This work is partially supported by a grant from the Royal Society (IE160503). We are grateful to Professor Andrzej Nowak for kindly sending us his paper [8].

References

- [1] Aliprantis, C. and Border, K. (2007). *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*. Springer, New York.
- [2] Bertsekas, D. and Shreve, S. (1978). *Stochastic Optimal Control*. Academic Press, New York.
- [3] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J. (1958). *Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory*. Interscience Publishers, New York.
- [4] Gemignani, M. (1990). *Elementary Topology*. Dover, New York.
- [5] Hernández-Lerma, O. and Lasserre, J. (1996). *Discrete-Time Markov Control Processes*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] Himmelberg, C., Parthasarathy, T., and Van Vleck, F. (1976). Optimal plans for dynamic programming problems. *Math. Oper. Res.* **1**, 390-394.
- [7] Kubińska, E. (2004). Approximation of Carathéodory functions and multifunctions. *Real Analysis Exchange* **30**, 251-360.
- [8] Nowak, A. (1988). On the weak topology on a space of probability measures induced by policies. *Bulletin of Polish Academy of Sciences (Mathematica)* **36**, 182-186.
- [9] Piunovskiy, A. (1997). *Optimal Control of Random Sequences in Problems with Constraints*. Kluwer, Dordrecht.

- [10] Schäl, M. (1975). On dynamic programming: compactness of the space of policies. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **3**, 345-364.
- [11] Yushkevich, A. (1997). The compactness of a policy space in dynamic programming via an extension theorem for Carathéodory functions. *Math. Oper. Res.* **22**, 458-467.